UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Richard Stewart Kallas	O No. 4.40 MI 000
	Defendant Callas	Case No. 1:13-MJ-282
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings of	of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been conviction. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	eted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destru a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	uctive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
	Alternative Findin	gs (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has con	nmitted an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	s prescribed in:*
(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	ou finding (4) that he condition or combination of conditions
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
√ (1)	Alternative Findin There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	gs (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community
(2)	Part II – Statement of the Reas	
evidence defenda Arizona,	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti a preponderance of the evidence that: nt and counsel waived a detention hearing on the record. Def where he was arrested. Michigan Parole authorities have iss cient to sustain the government's burden.	on hearing establishes by clear and convincing endant was on parole in Michigan and absconded to
aro oum	olone to capitalli the government o burden.	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 10, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville	
-		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge	